

# New Frontier

COMMENT ON THE SCARMAN  
REPORT (PAGE 2)



DECEMBER 1981

12p

VOICE OF THE **NEW** NATIONAL FRONT

Our verdict on Enoch Powell's race policy

## HALF BAKED!

**VOLUNTARY** repatriation will not restore a White Britain

MR. ENOCH POWELL, speaking in Hornchurch, Essex, last month, said that the way to avoid the threatened racial explosion in Britain is to institute a programme of VOLUNTARY repatriation of coloured immigrants and their offspring. Give them, he said, a resettlement grant of £9,000 per family of five, and this will encourage large numbers of them to leave this country. Perhaps half of them, or slightly more than half, he said, would take up the offer. This would leave a coloured population of about 1 to 1¼ million still remaining in Britain. That, said Mr. Powell, would mean that, provided that that population was balanced in terms of age and sex, its size would be 'stabilised', in other words it would not increase.

We think that Mr. Powell is talking through his hat.

Let us first examine the proposition that an inducement of £9,000 for a family of five would persuade large numbers of coloured families to leave. Unless Mr. Powell wants to inflict our race problems on other White countries — which we presume he does not — these families would be settling mostly in poor countries like India, Pakistan, Bangladesh and the countries of the West Indies. Just how long would it take them living on the income they can expect in those countries to be £9,000 poorer than they would be if they stayed in Britain? Not very long.

Most immigrants in Britain can understand this very clearly and therefore the idea that they could be induced to leave by such bribery is ridiculous. It just isn't on.

### WHY SHOULD WE PAY?

And supposing that some of them took the bribe, how fair would this be to the British people, millions of whom are now short of money? Would British people take kindly to immigrants being given a gift of £9,000 per family? They certainly would not, and they would be right!

The vast majority of immigrants taking advantage of such an offer

would be people who have lived here for a considerable number of years and done very well out of Britain. They have earned far more money than they could have earned in their countries of origin — and some of them have not even worked for that money; they have got it off the welfare state. Nearly all of them should by now have a fair sum of money stacked away in the bank.

### NO DEBT

Any debt that Britain owes to them — and we do not acknowledge that it owes them anything — has been more than paid by their being allowed to live here for years at far higher living standards than they could ever dream of in countries run by people of their own race.

Mr. Powell in his speech said that the number of 1 to 1¼ million would represent "rather more than half" of the coloured population of this country. This means that he imagines the total coloured population to be about 2 million or just over.

Anyone who takes a look around our most densely populated urban areas will know that this is ridiculous. The figure is at least double — 4 to 5 million.

But supposing, to avoid argument

on this point, we accept Mr. Powell's estimate, how realistic is his idea that the 1 to 1¼ million left in Britain if his voluntary resettlement programme worked would 'stabilise', i.e. not increase in numbers?

The Asian birthrate in Britain is nearly 2½ times that of the indigenous British population; the West Indian birthrate is substantially higher than ours also.

At the rate at which the coloureds breed, it would only be a matter of time before the 1 to 1¼ million lost through resettlement would be replenished, and so the race explosion that Mr. Powell says he fears would only be delayed; it would not be prevented.

So what do we think of Enoch Powell's programme for dealing with Britain's race problem? We think it a load of hogwash from start to finish.

And we suspect that Mr. Powell himself — who is not an unintelligent man — knows it is a load of hogwash too.

### COWARDLY ESCAPE

It is just a cowardly escape from what is the only realistic solution to the problem: repatriation or resettlement by government decree, i.e. compulsory removal of the coloured population from Britain.

The immigrants and their offspring should be given not less than a year's notice by the Government of the day that they will be required to leave this country. They should then be given free passage by boat to the countries of their destination and a small resettlement grant to help them establish themselves when they arrive. Taking into account what most of them ought to have in the bank already, and the fact that they should be able to get quite good prices for their houses when they sell them, this should not be more than about £100 to £150 at the outside.

For years Enoch Powell has posed as the would-be saviour of White Britain from the immigrant threat — despite the fact that there is little in his political record to justify that posture. It is about time now that the great Powellite myth was thoroughly demolished. Mr. Powell himself has done something towards demolishing it by his latest proposals.



### ENOCH AND THE IMMIGRANTS


This picture was taken in January 1974. It shows Enoch Powell in the midst of a friendly chat with four Asian immigrants all of whom were members of the Tory Party. In the report accompanying the picture the immigrants were quoted as describing Mr. Powell as 'a very good man'. The same report stated that he was currently helping three of his Pakistani constituents to bring their wives and families to Britain. Mr. Powell's 'racism' has never been genuine; he has never been dedicated to the achievement of a White Britain but has only made noises against the immigration policies of Tory and Labour governments in order to solicit votes.



**THE THUGS WHO ATTACKED THIS ELDERLY COUPLE WOULDN'T HAVE TO LEAVE BRITAIN IF THEY DIDN'T WANT TO**

George Richards and his wife Violet, pictured above, are both 65. Last month two young Blacks broke into their home in Southampton. On the first occasion Mr. Richards drove them off. They later came back again for revenge and savagely beat the elderly couple up. The pictures show their injuries.

Under the half-baked race policies proposed by Mr. Powell, these Blacks would be under no compulsion to leave Britain.



### THE REAL COLOURED INVASION

Even if Powellite repatriation proposals were adopted and were effective, the huge coloured immigrant birthrate would recreate the race problem again in a few years.



# New Frontier

No. 11 DECEMBER 1981

Editor: Ronald Rickcord

Contributing Editor: Charles Parker

New Frontier, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.  
Tel: 0273 777540

## UNITED WE STAND...

AS 1981 draws to a close and *New Frontier* approaches its second year of publication, it is perhaps an opportune time for us to examine our efforts during the past year and make our plans and resolutions for 1982.

Although it is only just over a year since several members of the New National Front met to plan the launching of *New Frontier*, we think that we can fairly claim that it has already established itself as one of the most formidable weapons in the arsenal of British Nationalism.

That our efforts have met with some success is gratifying; but we are well aware that we have as yet taken only the first tentative steps down the long, hard road that leads towards the goal so ardently desired by us all. We must not let success make us complacent; nor must we be content to rest on our laurels.

In our first issue we said that one of *New Frontier's* main objectives would be the unification of the various factions into which British Nationalists are unfortunately divided. We believe that we can justly claim that no publication has striven harder to unite British Nationalists during the past year than *New Frontier*. In this, we have accurately reflected the wishes of the overwhelming majority of Nationalists who have been dismayed by the dissension and factionalism of recent years.

That there is now a groundswell of Nationalist opinion demanding unity is largely due to the tireless efforts of the members of the NNF and in particular its leader, John Tyndall, who has undoubtedly done more than anyone else to bring the different factions together. *New Frontier* is proud to have been associated with these efforts.

The very fact that for the first time in several years the vast majority of Nationalists belonging to the various groups are prepared to speak to each other and actively cooperate in the Nationalist cause bodes well for the future; but there is still much to be done if we are to achieve full-blooded unity.

Therefore we very much hope that 1982 will be the year in which we complete the process of unification. When we have achieved that, we shall be able to go forward together to revive and reinforce the foundations on which British Nationalism depends – the ideals which nurture it; the morale which sustains it; the ideology which strengthens it; the leadership which guides it; and, above all, the hopes of ultimate victory which inspire it. If we make these our aims for the coming year and the years beyond, we shall not fail.

★★★★

We take this opportunity of wishing all our readers a very happy Christmas and prosperous New Year.

**LORD KAGAN**, recently released from jail after serving a sentence for fraud, has refused to appear in a TV programme because former Cabinet Minister John Stonehouse, also an ex-prisoner, was invited to appear on the same programme. Lord Kagan said that he "would not benefit by being seen publicly associating with Mr. Stonehouse."

★★★★

**JOHN PENRITH**, a Liverpool policeman, has been forced to resign from the police after being fined £50 for giving a cheeky youth a clip round the ear. Meanwhile, Wrexham Police Sergeant David Morgan, who was fined £40 for punching a youth who called a Nigerian a "black monkey", has been allowed to keep his job.

★★★★

THE NUMBER of rapes in London has more than doubled during the past four years. Last year there were 266 recorded rapes, but according to the police many cases of rape are not reported because the victims are too ashamed or frightened to tell the police.

★★★★

ACCORDING to the United States Census Bureau, very few indigenous non-whites (Red Indians and Eskimos) now live in New York. But the 'ethnic minorities', com-

prising mainly Blacks and Hispanics, account for 48 per cent of the city's population.

★★★★

**DETECTIVE SUPERINTENDENT Ernest Miller** of Merseyside police has warned motorists driving through



**Toxteth** to lock their car doors and windows to avoid New York-style muggings at traffic lights and in traffic jams. He also advised women to stay out of the area. Black leaders have accused the Superintendent of trying to make Toxteth into a 'no-go' area, and have also accused him of racism.

★★★★

**MOTA SINGH**, a Sikh, has been appointed a judge. The new judge was excused from having to take a religious oath and he will be permitted to wear a turban instead of a judge's wig.

★★★★

THE FINANCIAL SECRETARY to the Treasury has told MPs that membership of the Common Market

# The Scarman Report: a load of rubbish



FOR MANY MONTHS the nation has been waiting with bated breath to hear the report by Lord Scarman on last April's riots in Brixton. Now at last the report has appeared.

And, as we expected, it is a load of rubbish from start to finish.

Not entirely unpredictably, Lord Scarman blames the whole of White society for the Brixton disorder. As



**LORD SCARMAN**  
Wants Blacks to be put first

Dr. Kiosk in the Daily Telegraph's *Peter Simple* column would say, we are all guilty!

The police are guilty. The poli-

ticians are guilty. The public is guilty. Everyone, it seems, except the rioters are guilty!

And how can we all atone for our guilt? Yes, you've guessed it. We've got to give more to the Blacks. We've got to give them more aid to improve the areas where they live. We've got to give them more jobs. We've got to give them better chances in education. We've got to make life nicer for you!

★★★★

The rioters of Brixton must be laughing. Rioting obviously pays off. You burn the town to the ground. You loot the shops. You throw petrol bombs at the police. And what do you get? Why, the establishment is falling over itself to make life nicer for you!

For this is undoubtedly what is

**BLACK THUGS KICK POLICE-MAN: Scarman says the whole nation is guilty for their behaviour.**

going to happen. Lord Scarman's proposals have met with widespread agreement at Westminster. Willie Whitelaw, the Home Secretary, is very pleased with them.

Undoubtedly the most idiotic proposal in the report is that there should be 'reverse discrimination' in favour of the Blacks in our society. In other words, they should get especially preferential treatment in jobs, in education, in housing, etc.

Will this proposal be adopted? Very likely it will.

The White people of Britain will then become what we have said for a long time they are becoming – second class citizens in their own country.

This is why *New Frontier* believes that the Scarman Report should be thrown in the dustbin.

## Hiding the figures

**Liverpool Council refuses to record unemployment among Blacks**

AN ARTICLE about Blacks and unemployment in October's *New Frontier* drew attention to the fact that the official figures relating to unemployment among 'minority group workers' in Great Britain, published by the Department of

Employment, specifically excluded figures for Liverpool and two other unspecified areas.

A reader, curious to know why the figures are incomplete, why Liverpool is excluded, and the names of the two unspecified areas, wrote to the DoE with a request that the missing information be provided. He received the following astonishing reply:

"It has not been possible to include figures for Liverpool in the table for many years because of a longstanding resolution by the City Council that the records of ethnic minority young people should not be separately identifiable. Consequently the number of young ethnic minority unemployed workers in Liverpool have been unknown."

"The two other areas failing to submit returns, and whose figures for this date are unknown, were Stratford and East Ham. The Careers Offices in these areas were unable to make the count because of industrial action."

This reply prompts the question: Why is the Liverpool City Council permitted to continue in its refusal to provide the DoE with information required by the Government? And furthermore, why should the Liverpool City Council be so anxious to prevent the truth being made public?

It is time that the Government got tough with Liverpool City Council and any other Council that refuses to provide information required by Government Departments. Unfortunately, however, toughness is a quality that has been conspicuously absent among post-war British governments.

## BRITONS UNITE

*Unite or else go under! –  
Now is the time to stand  
Against the alien plunder  
Of this our own dear land!*

*Stand up for Race and Nation!  
Forget old scores! Unite!  
And lay a sure foundation  
To safeguard our birthright!*

## YOUR LETTERS

Sir, I was very disappointed by the appearance in October's *New Frontier* of a letter by a Miss Christine Yianni attacking the British Movement, and the fact that many BM members were invited to our Nationalist Unity rally.

To describe the British Movement as a gang whose members waste their time attacking foreigners is an unfounded slur. To attack aggression in young active Nationalists we would support liberality and softness – the very thing our enemies would like us to do.

Miss Yianni seems to believe the NNF to be something perfect in every aspect, and that the BM is bad in every respect. I wonder how many times she has taken the time to find out the real differences. I can assure her that there is a great deal we can learn from the British Movement, and there is a lot we have in common with it. When are some NNF members going to step down from their pulpits and recognise the similarities?

It is our task to build a great Nationalist movement – not to disband parties and throw their "less than perfect" members on a scrap heap. Our Leader John Tyndall has described what has to be done – all members must put pressure on their leaders to come to a conference table and thrash out what little differences exist so that we can march on in unity and strength. In view of Mr. Tyndall's wishes, I find it unbelievable that the party's own newspaper should print a letter from somebody who wishes to stand in the way of true union.

John Tyndall believes there is about 1 per cent of BM members who are not ready for Nationalist unity and would not be allowed into a united movement. I believe we have a similar percentage of those people in our own party – let's not allow them to ruin our main aim as a party of White unity. Our fight is tough enough without looking for enemies among our closest allies.

Kevin Randall,  
London, N9.

**EDITOR'S NOTE:** Mr. Tyndall has never made the statement attributed to him in the last paragraph of this letter. However, he does acknowledge that a large portion of the members of British Movement – possibly a majority – are good nationalists and would be welcome in a united nationalist party.

It should also be mentioned in reply to Mr. Randall that *NEW FRONTIER's* decision to print readers' letters does not necessarily indicate that all the contents of those letters are representative of the policy of the New National Front.



# Paisley is right

## SELL-OUT IS ON THE CARDS AND LOYALISTS MUST ORGANISE RESISTANCE

WHAT AN UNREASONABLE FELLOW is the Rev. Ian Paisley and what bigoted fanatics are his supporters! This is the standard party line now being peddled at Westminster and by the establishment press. But how justified is it?

Dr. Paisley is a rumbustious and extrovert personality who has a noisy way of making his view felt. It is not a way that appeals to everybody, and least of all does it appeal to the English bourgeoisie. Perhaps they think that Dr. Paisley is more interested in personal publicity than in the issues on which he makes his loud demonstrations. Perhaps they may be right — we do not know because we are not personally acquainted with Dr. Paisley first-hand. But whatever we think of the man and of his motives, this should not blind us to the issues themselves.

### FAILURE

What Dr. Paisley is making a noise about — and in this he is supported by a great number of people in Northern Ireland — is the failure of the present Government, and every Government for the past decade, to bring peace to that province and to defeat the IRA. The question we must ask is: is he or is he not right?

Clearly Dr. Paisley is right on both counts. Having then dealt with that question, we must ask another: have this Government and preceding governments done all that could have been done to defeat the IRA and to achieve peace? If the answer to that question is yes, Dr. Paisley and his supporters are indeed unreasonable. If, on the other hand, the answer is no, we must concede that they have a point.

But that is not all. It might be possible to sympathise with the position of successive British governments if it could be seen that their basic objectives and strategy were right, i.e. that they were determined to defend Northern Ireland as an

integral part of the United Kingdom and to repudiate the theory that its fate is in some way the business of the Irish Republic. Were this the case, they might be excused some tactical mistakes on the way and might be entitled to the help and co-operation of loyal Britons in the province. No-one has ever suggested that the Northern Ireland problem is easy. No-one has ever suggested that the politicians grappling with it should be infallible.

But what raises the ire of people like Dr. Paisley is the feeling that at the root of government policies towards Northern Ireland is the fact that the Government simply does not want to win. It does not want to hold on to Northern Ireland. It does not oppose the IRA in its basic political objective, which is a united Irish Republic. It wants to leave Ulster Loyalists in the lurch.

Just consider the facts. Every government in Westminster since 1970 has declared that its policy in Northern Ireland is 'bi-partisan'; in other words, it takes no sides between those people in Northern Ireland who want to be British and those who do not.

Every government in Westminster since 1970 has given implicit acknowledgement that the fate of Northern Ireland is the business of the Irish Republic — by the fact of their being prepared to discuss the affairs of Northern Ireland with the representatives of the Irish Republic. The latest manifestation of this attitude is the decision by the present Government to set up a joint council with the Irish Government, the purview of which will include numerous affairs which affect Northern Ireland and which should concern the United Kingdom alone.

### KID GLOVES

Every government in Westminster since 1970 has adopted a 'kid gloves' approach to IRA terrorism in Ulster by denying to the security

forces there the authorisation to pursue the destruction of the IRA by all possible means — the excuse for this 'kid gloves' policy being the desire not to offend the Roman Catholic population. In other words, the safety and security of those loyal to Britain have been compromised by concern for the feelings of a community in large part, if not in whole, not loyal to Britain.

And every government in Westminster since 1970 has failed to institute penalties for captured terrorists that provide an adequate deterrent to terrorism. As we stated last month in *New Frontier*, The Maze Prison is just a holiday camp. It is a rest home. Life there is so easy and comfortable that no-one is afraid of being interned. Not only can IRA men go about their business with the assurance that, if caught, they will not lose their lives, they can be sure they will go to a place of detention where conditions are cushier than anywhere else in the United Kingdom.

### PROSPECT OF I.R.A. VICTORY

Last of all — and this is the most fundamental — the IRA have in front of them the prospect that they will win — for win they will if Britain deserts Northern Ireland. The IRA do not need to win a military victory — they know they cannot win that; all they need is a political victory; and they know that this political victory is being prepared for them in Westminster.

They only have to go on bombing and gunning for a bit longer, and the will of Westminster will capsize and Britain will withdraw. Already the Labour and Liberal parties are more or less committed to a united Ireland; the Tory Party has not yet acknowledged that it is committed but its every act behind the scenes indicates that it is.

It is this situation that Loyalists understand and find intolerable. Dr. Paisley expresses his Loyalist feeling in his own inimitable way. Others



ROW AT WESTMINSTER  
Dr. Paisley leaves the House of Commons after ejection.

express it more quietly. But is the feeling wrong?

A new phrase has recently entered into Westminster jargon: "so-called 'Loyalists'", by which is meant people who pronounce themselves as Loyalists but who by their actions demonstrate disloyalty to the British Government — and, by implication, to Britain itself.

We do not accept the reasoning behind the use of this phrase.

To be a Loyalist to one's nation does not necessitate that one must at all times be loyal to its government. If it becomes obvious that a nation's government has become aligned to the forces of national betrayal and treason, it is no longer the duty of a patriot and loyalist to support that

government; on the contrary, it is the duty of every patriot and loyalist to oppose that government.

Large numbers of people in Northern Ireland have realised — better than people anywhere else in the United Kingdom — that the present Government in Westminster is set upon a course of national betrayal and treason. For this reason they cannot be loyal to that Government; but this does not mean that they are not loyal to Britain.

The Rev. Ian Paisley is the loudest and most forceful spokesman for this feeling in Northern Ireland. He believes it is necessary to create a hell of a din to get his point over. This has included, at times, the

Contd. on back page

## TALKING POINTS by FRONTIERSMAN

### HIGH BREEDERS

A correspondent has written to tell me that during 1979 and 1980 11,900 Vietnamese 'Boat People' and 3,355 natives of the Philippines were allowed to settle in Britain. He informs me that these people, whom he accurately, if quaintly, refers to as "high breeders", have never had any connection with Britain or the British Commonwealth, and therefore have even less claim on this country than the hordes of 'New Commonwealth and Pakistani citizens' already here or clamouring to come here. "Why," he asks, "are these people allowed to come to our overcrowded country?" Why indeed?

### RIDDLE OF KARLSKRONA

A favourite adventure story of my school days was Erskine Childers's *The Riddle of the Sands*, a tale of naval espionage and intrigue set in the Baltic and the Frisian Islands at the turn of the century, when people in Britain were getting alarmed by the ominous expansion of the Kaiser's Navy. Today we are threatened by the Soviet Navy, which is immeasurably stronger than the German Navy ever was; but our leaders seem to be quite unconcerned.

The stranding of the Soviet spy submarine NR137 off the Swedish naval base at Karlskrona in the Baltic has, if nothing else, highlighted the activities of the Soviet Navy and given an effective answer to those people who want us to disarm. What the pacifists should ask themselves is this: Why do the Soviets find it necessary to send submarines to spy on a neutral non-nuclear country like Sweden?

The Karlskrona incident quite clearly shows that one can't afford to be neutral

where the Soviet Union is concerned. And yet, at this very moment Mrs. Thatcher and Defence Secretary John Nott are actually reducing the Royal Navy! Both of them would do well to read *The Riddle of the Sands*, and in particular a passage that I have never forgotten: "We're a maritime nation — we've grown by the sea and live by it; if we lose command of it we starve."

### SACK THE LOT!

It has been reported that 'race relations officers' are to go on a one-day strike in support of a colleague who was sacked after having been found guilty of committing a misdemeanour. The officers' union, ASTMS, has also given notice that it will call a nationwide strike if the one-day strike does not result in the sacked officer being reinstated. Let them go on strike. Would anyone notice or be inconvenienced if they were to go on strike for ever? I doubt it. It would save our country a great deal of trouble if all the self-important busybodies who make a fat living out of the race relations industry were given their cards. Sack the lot — now!

### SHUFFLING FOOT

Like countless thousands who watched the Remembrance Day ceremony on TV, I was disgusted by the antics of Mr. Foot at the Cenotaph. Not only was he attired in garb that was quite unsuitable for the occasion, he also gave the impression of being thoroughly bored by the entire proceedings. I do not know whether the off-hand manner with which he placed his wreath on the plinth or his failure to bow towards the Cenotaph were due to forgetfulness, senility or the result of an



FOOT AT CENOTAPH  
His demeanour a disgrace

intentional insult aimed at our fallen dead. What I do know is that by his behaviour this shuffling windbag has shown that he is quite unworthy to hold high office in our country, or even to remain at the head of the party he currently leads. And while I think about it, I note that one of Mr. Foot's socialist colleagues described him as looking like "an out-of-work navvy." In my opinion such a description is a grave insult to out-of-work navvies, many of whom served our country well during the war. What did Mr. Foot do during the war, I wonder?

## COMMON MARKET COMMENT

### EURO-M.P. WANTS ROYAL SUCCESSION LAWS CHANGED

ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS *New Frontier* has drawn attention to the EEC's involvement with matters that are not rightly its concern, and also to the habit of sending its highly-paid functionaries, together with their retinues, on extremely expensive jaunts to far-flung corners of the world that have little, if any, bearing on European affairs. Not content with spreading its tentacles throughout the world, the EEC is now prying into British affairs of a purely domestic nature and which are of abominably no concern to that meddlesome monstrosity across the water, whose self-importance knows no bounds.

Perhaps the most breathtaking example of the EEC's impudence was the recent announcement that EEC 'legal experts' are considering whether Britain would contravene the Treaty of Rome if the baby expected by the Princess of Wales in June happened to be a girl, but was subsequently superseded as Heir Apparent to the British Throne by a boy born at a later date. What effrontery! Who do these Euro-busybodies think they are? It is for Britons and not one else to decide who will sit on the British Throne.

These impertinent deliberations have been initiated by a British Labour 'Euro-MP', a certain Mr. Derek Enright, who asked the EEC Commission what steps it was taking to "ensure that accession to the British Throne does not discriminate against women." In Mr. Enright's opinion, for a younger son to take precedence over an older daughter born to the Princess would contravene Article 119 of the Treaty of Rome, which guarantees women equal pay for equal work, though

what this has got to do with the British Monarchy is not made clear. Apparently, Mr. Enright considers that "it would be scandalous if, when everyone (*sic*) is fighting for women's equality, the United Kingdom continues to give males the first right to mount the Throne."

There was a time when British public figures and politicians refrained from discussing British domestic matters abroad. Mr. Enright seemingly ignores such conventions, just as he ignores the time-honoured method whereby the line of succession of British Monarchs is determined. How dare he discuss these things with foreigners!

The principle of male primogeniture is one that has been long established in these islands and is of no concern to aliens. That is not to say that *New Frontier* is in any way opposed to women sitting on the Throne, and we acknowledge that some of our greatest Monarchs have been women. Although it is true that under our monarchical system men have a better chance of acceding to the Throne than women, of the last twenty Monarchs, no less than five have been women; or, to put it another way, during the last 434 years women have occupied the Throne for 155 of those years.

But whatever the rights and wrongs about 'women's rights' may be, the matter of who sits on the British Throne is not one to be decided by the EEC or any other foreign organisation. *New Frontier* insists that the Government makes it crystal clear to the EEC Commission that the issue of who future British Sovereigns shall be is a matter for Britons only.





## LOCAL REMEMBRANCE PARADES

ON REMEMBRANCE DAY last month New National Front units all over Britain held their own local parades. This was in response to a decision by the party leadership that there be no national march and ceremony in London, as in the past. Until British nationalists are properly united again, no such march and ceremony can be held which would be anything other than a pale shadow of those great National Front occasions of the 1970s. Small local ceremonies were therefore held, many of which made excellent impressions on the local populace. The picture above shows a wreath-laying at a ceremony held by Enfield Branch.

## Tapes for Xmas

NNF Recordings offer the following two cassettes dealing with topics of interest to nationalists:

### VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Extracts of speeches from the New National Front rally in London on September 5th 1981 on the theme 'Whites unite or go under!' Speakers include Len Bearsford Walker, Kenneth McKilliam, Ray Hill (guest speaker), Derek Mery and NNF Chairman John Tyndall. A substantial part of John Tyndall's closing speech is recorded.

Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on the theme 'The coming British revolution'. In this talk Mr. Tyndall exposes the fraudulence of the system known as 'democracy' and outlines the vital political changes needed in Britain to bring about national recovery.

### TYNDALL SPEAKS

Side 1: Talk by John Tyndall on the theme 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage'. In this talk Mr. Tyndall speaks of the world-wide dispersion of the peoples of British stock and the necessity for them to unite to guarantee their survival against the threat of the non-White races.

Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on the theme 'Britain's economic crisis'. In this talk Mr. Tyndall attacks the policies that have made 3 million people in Britain unemployed and outlines the nationalist programme for the achievement of full employment.

These are both 90-minute cassettes and cost £3 each with 15p each added to cover postage. They can be ordered from NNF Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

Buy your friends or relations a cassette for Xmas — order now!

*New Frontier*, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

Read

### SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the New National Front. Price 35p. Annual subscription £6.00. 47p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

## BUY NEW FRONTIER IN BULK

*New Frontier* retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 30p post  
25 copies £2.70 + 87p post  
50 copies £4.80 + £1.43 post  
100 copies £8.40 + £1.73 post  
150 copies £11.70 + £1.90 post  
200 copies £14.40 + £2.05 post  
300 copies £19.80 + £2.35 post  
400 copies £24.00 + £2.55 post  
500 copies £29.40 ) Bulk rates  
1,000 copies £55.20 ) by headline

Cheques/postal orders to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *New Frontier* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

## BRADFORD GROUP

THE BRADFORD GROUP of the New National Front was set up at a meeting in the city in late October. We are informed that this group comprises the majority of the still active members of the old NF branch in Bradford.

## NNF Promotional material

### LEAFLETS

'Warning' leaflet: Designed to land on the householder's mat with the word 'Warning!' in large lettering facing up. Deals with the race riots of 1980 and 1981 and warns the public: "They're tearing our country apart".

Unemployment leaflet: Attacks the failure of government to protect British industry against foreign imports, thus creating massive unemployment. Advocates the alternative of economic nationalism.

Violent crime leaflet: Spotlights muggings and brutal attacks on old folk and condemns governments for the soft treatment of criminals.

'What's the difference?' leaflet: Exposes the similarities in policies between the leading political parties and shows how the New National Front provides the only alternative.

Leaflet for youth: Printed on behalf of the NNF youth division, the Young Nationalists. Contrasts the fawning of the politicians on young Blacks in Britain with their neglect of young Whites.

Leaflet for schools and colleges: A reprint of the old and popular 'red teacher' leaflet, this attacks subversion in the classroom. Ideal for students. Also produced on behalf of Young Nationalists.

The above leaflets are available at £3.60 per thousand.

'Multi-racial Britain: the experiment that failed': Another leaflet on the race riots, vividly illustrated by a collage of riot photos. On the back an appeal for repatriation by the Leader of the New National Front.

Slightly larger than the other leaflets, this leaflet costs £3.75 per thousand.

WALL POSTER: This shows an enlarged version of the collage of race riot photos. It measures 11.3" x 17.8" and is in two colours.

This poster costs 65p for 50 copies and £1.20 for 100 copies.

Details of postage costs can be obtained on enquiry.

All available from NNF, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

# NNF marches in support of fishermen

THE NEW NATIONAL FRONT staged a march through Grimsby on October 5th in support of Britain's betrayed and neglected fishermen. The march was a Northern regional activity and was attended entirely by Northern members apart from a dozen-strong detachment of STAG members from London. About 130-140 marchers took part.

The local police co-operated admirably in allowing the marchers an ideal route, which took them right through the main shopping centre of the town.

Not a single adverse comment was heard from the crowds; on the other hand many clapped the orderly and disciplined marchers.

The march ended in a brief rally addressed by local Organiser Jack Noble and party Chairman John Tyndall. In his speech Mr. Tyndall demanded Britain's withdrawal from the Common Market and the establishment of a 200-mile fishing limit around Britain's shores.



NNF column marches through Grimsby

## TRAGIC

An incident occurred at West Ham United football ground last month which indicates the tragic depths to which the old National Front has now sunk.

A team of New National Front activists was selling *New Frontier* outside the ground when they were set upon and physically attacked by a group of old NF members, who apparently regarded the sales pitch as their exclusive preserve. They originally thought the New NF team was only half a dozen in number and therefore safe to attack. When they pitched in, however, they found that it was double that size — previously unnoticed NNF activists coming to the aid of their colleagues. The attackers thereupon beat an undignified retreat. We understand that no-one was badly hurt.

## CORRECTION

In a report in the November/December issue of *National Front News*, the organ of the old NF, it is claimed that more than 400 members attended the party's annual general meeting in London in October. This is gloatingly contrasted with what is reported as 135 persons, including non-members, who attended a 'members meeting' of the New National Front the previous month.

To put the record straight, the NNF meeting referred to was in no way an annual general meeting; it was called at less than a month's notice in order to take advantage of especially favourable terms of hire of a hall in London. The actual number present was just under 200 and the vast majority of these were NNF members. Annual general meetings on the old lines held in the NF have been scrapped in the NNF as being largely a waste of time. These are being replaced by conferences, not necessarily limited to once yearly, and held mainly on a local basis. In the case of the London meeting in September, the attendance was almost entirely from the South East of England.

We have had an eye-witness report from an observer who was present at the old National Front AGM and who takes a non-partisan position in the NF/NNF dispute, and his estimate of the number present is about 250.

We would like to be able to report that this was the action of a few undisciplined hotheads and did not have the approval of the NF Directorate. Unfortunately, however, we cannot do so; the NNF paper-sellers witnessed a man egging on the attackers from the background. He was Mr. Ian Anderson, a member of the party's Directorate and Executive Council.

Following the incident, NNF STAG official Sean Hernon received a threat down at Brick Lane that if NNF paper-sellers were seen again at West Ham they would be beaten up. Needless to say, *New Frontier* sales will continue at West Ham.

## PAISLEY IS RIGHT

(Contd. from prev. page)

raising of hell in the House of Commons itself.

But isn't it about time someone raised hell in the House of Commons? Would it not be better if those members of the House who opposed coloured immigration decided, instead of tabling polite motions against it, to raise hell

## NORTHERN MEETINGS

NEW NATIONAL FRONT Chairman John Tyndall, accompanied by his wife Valerie, made a short and busy tour of the North of England at the end of October and beginning of November. Apart from attending the regional march in Grimsby in support of Britain's fishermen, Mr. Tyndall spoke at two very well attended meetings in Liverpool and Gateshead.

against it? Would it not be better if those MPs who objected to the Common Market decided, instead of making muffled criticisms about it, raised hell about it?

You sometimes have to raise hell to wake people up. And, by God, who can deny that the politicians of Westminster need to be woken up to what is being done to our country in their name?

And let us not forget: Northern Ireland is a part of our country.

## WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The banning of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support of the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

## WRITE TO US

To: New National Front, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB. Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information about the New National Front for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member of the New National Front and enclose £6.00. (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member of the New National Front and enclose £3.00. (No half-rate) ☐

Name

Address

## SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *New Frontier* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.25 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £3.75 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *New Frontier* and send to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

An additional charge of £1 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name

Address

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED